arXiv:1106.0605v1 [math.CO] 3 Jun 2011

A NOTE ON THE EXISTENCE OF AN ALTERNATING SIGN ON A SPANNING TREE OF GRAPHS

DONGSEOK KIM, YOUNG SOO KWON, AND JAEUN LEE

Abstract. For a spanning tree T of a connected graph G and for a labelling $\phi : E(T) \to \{+, -\}$, ϕ is called an *alternating sign* on a spanning tree T of a graph G if for any cotree edge $e \in E(G) - E(T)$, the unique path in T joining both end vertices of e has alternating signs. In the present note, we prove that any graph has a spanning tree T and an alternating sign on T.

1. Introduction

For a spanning tree T of a connected graph G, a labelling $\phi : E(T) \to \{+, -\}$ is called an *alternating sign* on a spanning tree T of a graph G if for any cotree edge $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$, the unique path $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ in T joining both end vertices of e satisfies that $\phi(v_i v_{i+1}) \neq \phi(v_{i+1} v_{i+2})$ for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell - 2$. The motivation of this research is the following: Bipartite graphs naturally rise in knot theory as induced graphs of Seifert surfaces of links. Plumbed Seifert surfaces play a key role in the research of the geometry of knot complements [3]. The existence of an alternating sign on a spanning tree of bipartite graphs is a key ingredient to show the existence of such a surface [1, 2].

In the present note, we prove that any graph (not necessarily bipartite graph) has such a spanning tree T and an alternating sign on T. Note that if any graph without multiple edges and loops has such a tree and an alternating sign, then any graph with multiple edges or loops also has them. Hence in this note, we assume that any graph has no multiple edges and no loops. For any edge $e = \{u, v\}$ in G, we simply denote the edge e by uv.

2. Existence of alternating signs

For a connected graph G, if G has a Hamilton path, namely, a spanning tree T isomorphic to a path, then the assignment of signs to the edges of T alternately is an alternating sign on T. In this section, we show that even though a graph G does not contain a Hamilton path, G has such a tree and an alternating sign.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a connected graph and v_* be a fixed vertex of G. Then there is a spanning tree T of G such that for any cotree edge $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$, the unique path $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ in T joining both end vertices of e satisfies that either*

$$d_T(v_*, v_0) < d_T(v_*, v_1) < d_T(v_*, v_2) < \ldots < d_T(v_*, v_\ell) < d_T(v_*, v)$$

or

$$d_T(v_*, v_0) > d_T(v_*, v_1) > d_T(v_*, v_2) > \ldots > d_T(v_*, v_\ell) > d_T(v_*, v).$$

The third author was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation grant funded by the Korea government(MEST) (2009-0071547).

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}_G$ be the set of all spanning trees of G. We define a function $\Psi : \mathcal{T}_G \to R$ by $\Psi(T) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_T(v_*, v)$, where $d_T(v_*, v)$ is the length of the unique path joining v_* and v in T. Let T_M be a spanning tree of G such that $\Psi(T_M) = \max\{\Psi(T) : T \in \mathcal{T}_G\}$. We will show that T_M is a spanning tree that completes the proof. Assume that T_M does not satisfy the property. There is a cotree edge $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$ which does not satisfy the condition. Let $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ be the unique path in T from $u = v_0$ to $v = v_\ell$. Then it is clear that $d_T(v_*, v_0) > d_T(v_*, v_1)$. For a convenience, let $d_T(v_*, v_0) \leq d_T(v_*, v_\ell)$. Then there exists an $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$ such that $d_T(v_*, v_{i-1}) > d_T(v_*, v_i)$ and $d_T(v_*, v_i) < d_T(v_*, v_{i+1})$. Note that such an i uniquely exists. Let T' be the spanning tree of G having edge set $E(T) \cup \{e\} \setminus \{v_{i-1}v_i\}$. Then $d_{T_M}(v_*, v) \leq d_{T'}(v_*, v)$ for all $v \in V(G)\ \{v_{i-1}\}$. Since

$$d_{T'}(v_*, v_{i-1}) > d_{T'}(v_*, v_0) > d_{T'}(v_*, v_\ell) = d_{T_M}(v_*, v_\ell) \geq d_{T_M}(v_*, v_{i-1}),$$

we have $\Psi(T_M) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{T_M}(v_*, v) < \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{T'}(v_*, v) = \Psi(T')$. This contradicts the maximality of $\Psi(T_M)$. It completes the proof. ☐

Theorem 2.2. *Any connected graph G has a spanning tree T and an alternating sign on T.*

Proof. Let v_* be a fixed vertex of G. By Lemma 2.1, there is a spanning tree T of G such that for any cotree edge $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$, the unique path $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$ in T joining both end vertices of e satisfies that either

$$d_T(v_*, v_0) < d_T(v_*, v_1) < d_T(v_*, v_2) < \ldots < d_T(v_*, v_\ell) < d_T(v_*, v)$$

or

$$d_T(v_*, v_0) > d_T(v_*, v_1) > d_T(v_*, v_2) > \ldots > d_T(v_*, v_\ell) > d_T(v_*, v).$$

Define $\phi : E(T) \to \{+, -\}$ as follows: For any edge $e = uv \in T$, $\phi(uv) = +$ if $m(u, v)$ is even; and $\phi(uv) = -$ if $m(u, v)$ is odd, where $m(u, v) = \max\{d_T(v_*, u), d_T(v_*, v)\}$. Then one can easily show that ϕ is an alternating sign on T. ☐

Remark 2.3. *The proof of Lemma 2.1 gives an algorithm to find a spanning tree of G having an alternating sign and the proof of Theorem 2.2 gives a way to assign alternating signs.*

References

[1] R. Furihata, M. Hirasawa and T. Kobayashi, *Seifert surfaces in open books, and a new coding algorithm for links*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 40(3) (2008), 405–414.

[2] C. Hayashi and M. Wada, *Constructing links by plumbing flat annuli*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 2 (1993), 427–429.

[3] J. Stallings, *Constructions of fibred knots and links*, in: Algebraic and Geometric Topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA, 1976), Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1978, pp. 55–60.

Department of Mathematics, Kyonggi University, Suwon, 443-760 Korea
E-mail address: `dongseok@kgu.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, Yeungnam University, Kyongsan, 712-749, Korea
E-mail address: `yskwon@yu.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, Yeungnam University, Kyongsan, 712-749, Korea
E-mail address: `julee@yu.ac.kr`